Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (1 of 8)

State Participant-Centered Service Plan Title:

If it is discovered by Provider Services Unit during the review that the provider is not compliant in one of the enrollment and reenrollment state or federal provider requirements, they are required to correct deficiency prior to enrollment approval. Until they make these corrections, they are ineligible to provide services to waiver members.

a.		consibility for Service Plan Development. Per 42 CFR §441.301(b)(2), specify who is responsible for the elopment of the service plan and the qualifications of these individuals (select each that applies): Registered nurse, licensed to practice in the State Licensed practical or vocational nurse, acting within the scope of practice under State law
		Licensed physician (M.D. or D.O)
		Case Manager (qualifications specified in Appendix C-1/C-3)
	V	Case Manager (qualifications not specified in Appendix C-1/C-3).
		Specify qualifications:
		In 2017 the IME transitioned service planning responsibilities for FFS members from DHS Service Workers to the entity DHS Targeted Cases Management. DSH TCM is an arm of the DHS Mental Health and Disability Services. DHS TCM is CARF certified for case management. DHS targeted case managers (TCM) must be licensed social workers. The case managers develop service plans for fee-for-service members. Qualifications include: graduation from an accredited four-year college or university; or the equivalent of four years of full-time technical work experience involving direct contact with people in overcoming their social, economic, psychological, or health problems; or an equivalent combination of education and experience substituting the equivalent of one year of full-time qualifying work experience for one year (thirty semester or equivalent hours) of the required education to a maximum substitution of four years.
		In addition, DHS TCMs may be required to have the following specified experience in the following areas if they are specifically working with these populations:
		- Developmental disabilities: a minimum of one-year full-time (or equivalent part-time) experience in delivering or coordinating services for persons with developmental disabilities (i.e., severe, chronic mental or physical impairments). Positions that meet the intellectual disability background noted above will normally meet this selective area too. Experience in providing services and treatment to autistic children or persons with epilepsy or cerebral palsy will also qualify. - Intellectual disability: a minimum of one year of full-time (or equivalent part-time) experience in delivering or coordinating services for persons with significantly sub-average general intellectual functioning existing concurrently with deficits in adaptive behavior manifested during the developmental period.
		MCO
		MCO community-based case managers develop service plans for members receiving HCBS waiver services. MCOs community-based case managers are required to meet all of the qualifications, requirements, and be accredited as specified in 441 Iowa Administrative Code Chapter 24 regarding the accreditation of providers of services to persons with mental illness, intellectual disability; and developmental disabilities. Social Worker
	-	Specify qualifications:
		₩
	\checkmark	Other
		Specify the individuals and their qualifications:
		Integrated Health Homes, through their Care Coordinators, are responsible for service planning functions for

those members enrolled with an IHH. IHH care coordinators must meet the requirements as outlined in the approved Health Home SPA Attachment H, SPMI: The Care Coordinator must be a BSW with an active

5/29/2018

license, or BS/BA in the related field.

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (2 of 8)

- b. Service Plan Development Safeguards. Select one:
 - Entities and/or individuals that have responsibility for service plan development may not provide other direct waiver services to the participant.
 - Entities and/or individuals that have responsibility for service plan development may provide other direct waiver services to the participant.

The State has established the following safeguards to ensure that service plan development is conducted in the best interests of the participant. *Specify:*

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (3 of 8)

c. Supporting the Participant in Service Plan Development. Specify: (a) the supports and information that are made available to the participant (and/or family or legal representative, as appropriate) to direct and be actively engaged in the service plan development process and (b) the participant's authority to determine who is included in the process.

Information related to waiver services and general waiver descriptions are initially made available following receipt of a waiver application. Service plans are then developed with the participant and an interdisciplinary team, regardless of delivery system. Teams often consist of the participant and, if appropriate, their representative; service worker, case manager, health home coordinator, or community-based case manager; service providers; and other supporting persons selected by the participant. During service plan development, the participant and/or their representative is strongly encouraged to engage in an informed choice of services, and is offered a choice of institutional or HCBS. Planning is timely, occurs when convenient for the participant, and is intended to reflect the participant's cultural considerations. If the participant chooses to self-direct services, an Independent Support Broker is provided to assist with budgeting and employer functions. The IME Member Services Unit remains available at all times, during normal business hours, to answer questions and offer support to all Medicaid beneficiaries. Further, the Member Services Unit distributes a quarterly newsletter in effort to continually educate waiver participants about services and supports that are available but may not have been identified during the service plan development process.

The IME MSU remains available to answer questions and offer support. Further, the MSU distributes a quarterly newsletter in effort to continually educate participants about services and supports that are available but may not have been identified during the service plan development process.

The interRAI Standardized Assessment Tool or other department designated standardized assessment tool is completed prior to the initiation of services and yearly thereafter for fee-for-service(FFS) and MCO participants.

The fee-for-service person-centered planning processes must:

- -Include people chosen by the individual;
- -Include the use of team of professionals and non-professionals with adequate knowledge, training and expertise surrounding community living and person-centered service delivery;
- -Allow the member to choose which team member shall serve as the lead and the participant's main point of contact;
- -Promote self-determination principles and actively engages the participant;
- -Provide necessary information and support to ensure that the individual directs the process to the maximum extent possible, and is enabled to make informed choices and decisions;
- -Be timely and occur at times and locations of convenience to the participant;
- -Reflect cultural considerations of the individual and provide information in plain language and in a manner that is accessible to individuals with disabilities and persons who are limited English proficient, consistent with 42 CFR 435.905(b);
- -Include strategies for solving conflict or disagreement within the process, including clear conflict-of-interest

guidelines for all planning participants;

- -Offer informed choices to the member regarding the services and supports they receive and from whom;
- -Include a method for the member to request updates to the plan as needed; and
- -Record the alternative home and community-based settings that were considered by the participant.

MCOs are contractually required to provide supports and information that encourage members to direct, and be actively engaged in, the service plan development process, and to ensure that members have the authority to determine who is included in the process. Specifically, MCO person-centered planning processes must:

-Include people chosen by the individual;

- -Include the use of team of professionals and non-professionals with adequate knowledge, training and expertise surrounding community living and person-centered service delivery;
- -Allow the member to choose which team member shall serve as the lead and the member's main point of contact;

-Promote self-determination principles and actively engages the member;

-Provide necessary information and support to ensure that the individual directs the process to the maximum extent possible, and is enabled to make informed choices and decisions;

-Be timely and occur at times and locations of convenience to the member;

- -Reflect cultural considerations of the individual and provide information in plain language and in a manner that is accessible to individuals with disabilities and persons who are limited English proficient, consistent with 42 CFR 435.905(b);
- -Include strategies for solving conflict or disagreement within the process, including clear conflict-of-interest guidelines for all planning participants;
- -Offer informed choices to the member regarding the services and supports they receive and from whom;
- -Include a method for the member to request updates to the plan as needed; and Record the alternative home and community-based settings that were considered by the member.

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (4 of 8)

d. Service Plan Development Process. In four pages or less, describe the process that is used to develop the participant-centered service plan, including: (a) who develops the plan, who participates in the process, and the timing of the plan; (b) the types of assessments that are conducted to support the service plan development process, including securing information about participant needs, preferences and goals, and health status; (c) how the participant is informed of the services that are available under the waiver; (d) how the plan development process ensures that the service plan addresses participant goals, needs (including health care needs), and preferences; (e) how waiver and other services are coordinated; (f) how the plan development process provides for the assignment of responsibilities to implement and monitor the plan; and, (g) how and when the plan is updated, including when the participant's needs change. State laws, regulations, and policies cited that affect the service plan development process are available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable):

For fee-for-service members service plans are developed by the member; case manager or health home coordinator; and an interdisciplinary team. Planning meetings are scheduled at times and locations convenient for the individual. The service plan must be completed prior to services being delivered and annually thereafter, or whenever there is a significant change in the member's situation or condition. The case manager or health home coordinator receives the assessment and level of care determination from medical services. A summary of the assessment becomes part of the service plan. The case manager or health home coordinator uses information gathered from the assessment and then works with the member to identify individual and family strengths, needs, capacities, preferences and desired outcomes and health status and risk factors. This is used to identify the scope of services needed.

Note: For both FFS and managed care enrollees, the types of assessments used are identified in Appendix B-6-e.

The case manager or health home coordinator informs the member of all available non-Medicaid and Medicaid services including waiver services. There are waiver informational brochures available to share with members and their parents/guardians. Brochures are available at each of the DHS county offices. Information is also available on the IME and MCO websites. The brochures include information on eligibility, service descriptions, and the application process. Once a member begins the enrollment process and has a DHS TCM, health home coordinator, or community-based case manager assigned, a more detailed review of services and providers that are available in the area occurs as part of the planning process for developing a participant's plan of care.

The case manager or health home coordinator will also discuss with the member the self-direction option and give the member the option of self-directing services available. The member and the interdisciplinary team choose services and supports that meet the member's needs and preferences, which become part of the service plan. Service plans must:

- Reflect that the setting in which the individual resides is chosen by the member;

- Reflect the member's strengths and preferences;

- Reflect the clinical and support needs as identified through the needs assessment;

- Include individually identified goals and desired outcomes which are observable and measurable;
- Include the interventions and supports needed to meet member's goals and incremental action steps as appropriate;
- Reflect the services and supports, both paid and unpaid, that will assist the individual to achieve identified goals, the frequency of services and the providers of those services and supports, including natural supports;
- Include the names of providers responsible for carrying out the interventions or supports including who is responsible for implementing each goal on the plan and the timeframes for each service;
- Include the identified activities to encourage the member to make choices, to experience a sense of achievement, and to modify or continue participation in the service plan;
- Include a description of any restrictions on the member's rights, including the need for the restriction and a plan to restore the rights (for this purpose, rights include maintenance of personal funds and self-administration of medications);
- Reflect risk factors and measures in place to minimize them, including individualized back-up plans and strategies when needed;

- Include a plan for emergencies;

- Be understandable to the member receiving services and supports, and the individuals important in supporting him or her;

- Identify the individual and/or entity responsible for monitoring the plan;

- Be finalized and agreed to, with the informed consent of the member in writing, and signed by all individuals and providers responsible for its implementation;

- Be distributed to the member and other people involved in the plan;

- Indicate if the member has elected to self-direct services and, as applicable, which services the member elects to self-direct; and
- Prevent the provision of unnecessary or inappropriate services and supports.

The case manager or health home coordinator will be responsible for coordination, monitoring and overseeing the implementation of the service plan including Medicaid and non-Medicaid services. If a member chooses to self-direct, the member with the help of a case manager or health home coordinator identifies who will be providing Independent Support Broker Services.

For MCO members, service plans are developed through a person-centered planning process led by the member, with MCO participation, and representatives included in a participatory role as needed and/or defined by the member. Planning meetings are scheduled at times and locations convenient for the individual. A team is established to identify services based on the member's needs and desires, as well as availability and appropriateness of services. The team is also responsible for identifying an emergency backup support and crisis response system to address problems or issues arising when support services are interrupted or delayed, or when the member's needs change. Service plans are completed prior to services being delivered, and are reevaluated at least annually, whenever there is a significant change in the member's situation or condition, or at a member's request.

In accordance with 42 CFR 441.301 and 441 Iowa Administrative Code Chapters 90.5(1)b and 83, MCOs must ensure the service plan reflects the services and supports that are important for the member to meet the needs identified through the needs assessment, as well as what is important to the member with regard to preferences for the delivery of such services and supports. The service plan must reflect the member's needs and preferences and how those needs will be met by a combination of covered services and available community supports. The service planning process must address the full array of medical and non-medical services and supports provided by the MCO and available in the community to ensure the maximum degree of integration and the best possible health outcomes and member satisfaction. Services plans must:

- Reflect that the setting in which the individual resides is chosen by the member;
- Reflect the member's strengths and preferences;
- Reflect the clinical and support needs as identified through the needs assessment;
- Include individually identified goals and desired outcomes which are observable and measurable;
- Include the interventions and supports needed to meet member goals and incremental action steps as appropriate;

- Reflect the services and supports, both paid and unpaid, that will assist the individual to achieve identified goals, the frequency of services and the providers of those services and supports, including natural supports;
- Include the names of providers responsible for carrying out the interventions or supports including who is responsible for implementing each goal on the plan and the timeframes for each service;
- Include the identified activities to encourage the member to make choices, to experience a sense of achievement, and to modify or continue participation in the service plan;
- Include a description of any restrictions on the member's rights, including the need for the restriction and a plan to restore the rights (for this purpose, rights include maintenance of personal funds and self-administration of medications):
- Reflect risk factors and measures in place to minimize them, including individualized back-up plans and strategies when needed;
- Include a plan for emergencies;
- Be understandable to the member receiving services and supports, and the individuals important in supporting him or her;
- Identify the individual and/or entity responsible for monitoring the plan;
- Be finalized and agreed to, with the informed consent of the member in writing, and signed by all individuals and providers responsible for its implementation;
- Be distributed to the member and other people involved in the plan;
- Indicate if the member has elected to self-direct services and, as applicable, which services the participant elects to self-direct; and
- Prevent the provision of unnecessary or inappropriate services and supports.

MCO members have appeal rights, including access to a State Fair Hearing after exhausting the MCO appeal process. Members can continue services while an appeal decision is pending, when the conditions of 42 CFR 438.420 are met. MCOs are contractually required to implement a comprehensive strategy to ensure a seamless transition of services during program implementation. Further, MCOs are required to develop and maintain, subject to DHS approval, a strategy and timeline within which all waiver members will receive an in-person visit from appropriate MCO staff and an updated needs assessment and service plan. Services may not be reduced, modified or terminated in the absence of an up-to-date assessment of needs that supports the reduction, modification or termination. Changes to these must receive DHS prior approval.

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (5 of 8)

e. Risk Assessment and Mitigation. Specify how potential risks to the participant are assessed during the service plan development process and how strategies to mitigate risk are incorporated into the service plan, subject to participant needs and preferences. In addition, describe how the service plan development process addresses backup plans and the arrangements that are used for backup.

During the evaluation/reevaluation of level of care, risks are assessed for FFS members by a case manager or integrated health home care coordinator, and for MCO members by their respective MCO, using the assessment tools designated in B-6e. The assessment becomes part of the service plan and any risks are addressed as part of the service plan development process. The comprehensive service plan must identify an emergency backup support and crisis response system to address problems or issues arising when support services are interrupted or delayed or the member's needs change. In addition, providers of applicable services shall provide for emergency backup staff. All service plans must include a plan for emergencies and identification of the supports available to the participant in an emergency.

Emergencies are those situations for which no approved individual program plan exists and which, if not addressed, may result in injury or harm to the participant or other persons or significant amounts of property damage. The service plan must identify an emergency backup support and crisis response system to address problems or issues arising when support services are interrupted or delayed or the member's needs change. In addition providers of applicable services shall provide for emergency backup staff.

Emergency plans are developed on the following basis:

- Providers must provide for emergency, back-up staff in applicable services.
- Interdisciplinary teams must identify in the service plan, as appropriate for the individual member health and safety issues based on information gathered prior to the team meeting, including a risk assessment. This information is

incorporated into the service plan.

- The team identifies an emergency backup support and crisis response system to address problems or issues arising when support services are interrupted or delayed, or the member's needs change.

Personal Emergency Response and Portable Locator Services are available under the waiver and it is encouraged that this service be used as part of emergency backup plan when a scheduled support worker does not appear. Other providers may be listed on the service plan as source of back up as well. All members choosing the self-direction option will sign an individual risk agreement that permits the member to acknowledge and accept certain responsibilities for addressing risks.

The IME has developed a computer program named the Individualized Services Information System (ISIS) to support HCBS programs. For fee-for-service members, this system assists the Medicaid Agency and the case manager and health home coordinator with tracking information, and monitoring and approving the service plan. Through ISIS case manager, or health home coordinator authorizes service and service payments on behalf of the member. There are certain points in ISIS process that require contacting the designated DHS central office personnel. The case manager and health home coordinator are responsible for the development the service plan and the service plan is authorized through ISIS, which is the Medicaid Agency. (Refer to appendix A and H for ISIS system processes.)

MCOs have processes to ensure the necessary risk assessments and mitigation plans are completed and made available to all parties.

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (6 of 8)

f. Informed Choice of Providers. Describe how participants are assisted in obtaining information about and selecting from among qualified providers of the waiver services in the service plan.

While information about qualified and accessible providers is available to members through the IME website, MCO website, and/or MCO member services call center, the case manager, health home coordinator, community-based case manager first identifies providers to the member and their interdisciplinary team during the person-centered service planning process. Members are encouraged to meet with the available providers before making a selection. Members are not restricted to choosing providers within their community. Information about qualified and accessible providers is also available to members through their case manager, health home coordinator, community-based case manager, IME website, and/or MCO website. If an MCO is unable to provide services to a particular member using contract providers, the MCO is required to adequately and timely cover these services for that member using non-contract providers, for as long as the MCO's provider network is unable to provide them.

The MCOs are responsible for authorizing services for out-of-network care when they do not have an in-network provider available within the contractually required time, distance and appointment availability standards. The MCO is responsible for assisting the member in locating an out-of-network provider, authorizing the service and assisting the member in accessing the service. The MCO will also assist with assuring continuity of care when an innetwork provider becomes available. To ensure robust provider networks for members to choose from, MCOs are not permitted to close provider networks until adequacy is fully demonstrated to, and approved by, the State. Further, members will be permitted to change MCOs to the extent their provider does not ultimately contract with their MCO. Finally, MCOs are required to submit to the State on a regular basis provider network reports including, but not limited to network geo-access reports, 24- hour availability audit reports, provider-credentialing reports, subcontractor compliance summary reports, and provider helpline performance reports.

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (7 of 8)

g. Process for Making Service Plan Subject to the Approval of the Medicaid Agency. Describe the process by which the service plan is made subject to the approval of the Medicaid agency in accordance with 42 CFR §441.301(b)(1)(i):

DHS has developed a computer program named the Individualized Services Information System (ISIS) to support HCBS programs. This system assists DHS with tracking information, monitoring, and approving service plans for fee-for-service participants. (Refer to appendix A and H for ISIS system processes.) On a monthly basis, the IME

MSU conducts service plan reviews. The selection size for the waiver has a 95% confidence level. This info is reported to CMS as part of Iowa's performance measures. The State retains oversight of the MCO service plan process through a variety of monitoring and oversight strategies as described in Appendix D - Quality Improvement: Service Plan section. ISIS will only be utilized for fee-for-service participants and quality data for managed care participants will be provided by the MCOs.

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (8 of 8)

h.	Service Plan Review and Update. The service plan is subject to at least annual periodic review and update to assess the appropriateness and adequacy of the services as participant needs change. Specify the minimum schedule for the review and update of the service plan:
	Every three months or more frequently when necessary
	 Every six months or more frequently when necessary
	Every twelve months or more frequently when necessary
	Other schedule
	Specify the other schedule:
i.	Maintenance of Service Plan Forms. Written copies or electronic facsimiles of service plans are maintained for a minimum period of 3 years as required by 45 CFR §92.42. Service plans are maintained by the following (check each that applies): Medicaid agency
	Operating agency
	Case manager
	✓ Other
	Specify:
	DHS case managers, or health home coordinators maintain fee-for-service participant service plans. MCO community-based case managers maintain MCO member service plans.
סוס	ndix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery
п	D. C Dlan Implementation and Manitoring

A_{I}

D-2: Service Plan Implementation and Monitoring

a. Service Plan Implementation and Monitoring. Specify: (a) the entity (entities) responsible for monitoring the implementation of the service plan and participant health and welfare; (b) the monitoring and follow-up method(s) that are used; and, (c) the frequency with which monitoring is performed.

The case managers are responsible for monitoring the implementation of the service plan and the health and welfare of fee-for-service members, including:

- Monitoring service utilization.
- Making at least one contact per month with the member, the member's legal representative, the member's family, service providers, or another person, as necessary to develop or monitor the treatment plan.
- Make a face-to-face contact with the member at least once every three months.
- Participating in the development and approval of the service plan in coordination with the interdisciplinary team at least annually or as needs change. If services have not been meeting member needs, the plan is changed to meet those needs. The effectiveness of the emergency backup plan is also addressed as the service plan is developed.

The member is encouraged during the time of the service plan development to call the case manager or health home coordinator, if there are any problems with either Medicaid or non-Medicaid services. The case manager will then follow up to solve any problems. Monitoring service utilization includes verifying that:

- The member used the waiver service at least once a calendar quarter.

- The services were provided in accordance with the plan.
- The member is receiving the level of service needed.

The ISIS system is also used to assist with tracking information, monitoring services, and assuring services were provided to fee-for-service members. If the member is not receiving services according to the plan or not receiving the services needed, the member and other interdisciplinary team members and providers are contacted immediately.

The HCBS specialists (of the HCBS QA Unit) monitor the how member health and welfare is safeguarded, the degree of service plan implementation; and the degree of interdisciplinary team involvement of the case manager, or health home coordinator during the HCBS Quality Assurance review. Members are asked about their choice of provider, whether or not the services are meeting their needs, whether staff and care coordinators are respecting their choice and dignity, if they are satisfied with their services and providers, or whether they feel safe where they receive services and live.

HCBS specialists also review the effectiveness of emergency back-up and crisis plans. These components are monitored through quality oversight reviews of providers, member satisfaction surveys, complaint investigation, and critical incident report follow-up. All providers are reviewed at least once over a five-year cycle and members are surveyed at a 95% confidence level. Information about monitoring results are compiled by the HCBS Quality Assurance and Technical Assistance Unit on a quarterly basis. This information is used to make recommendations for improvements and training.

The IME MSU also conducts quality assurance reviews of member service plans at a 95% confidence level. These reviews focus on the plan development, implementation, monitoring, and documentation that is completed by the case manager, CBCM, or health home coordinator. All service plans reviewed are assessed for member participation, whether the member needs are accurately identified and addressed, the effectiveness of risk assessments and crisis plans, member access to waiver and non-waiver services, as well as coordination across providers to best serve the member's needs. Information about monitoring results are compiled by the IME MSU on a quarterly basis. This information is used to make recommendations for improvements and training.

MCO

MCOs are responsible for monitoring the implementation of the service plan, including access to waiver and nonwaiver services, the quality of service delivery, and the health, safety and welfare of members and choice of service providers. After the initiation of services identified in a member's service plan, MCOs monitor the provision of services, to confirm services have been initiated and are being provided on an ongoing basis as authorized in the service plan. At minimum, the care coordinator must contact members within five business days of scheduled initiation of services to confirm that services are being provided and that member's needs are being met. At a minimum, the community-based case manager shall contact 1915(c) HCBS waiver members at least monthly either in person or by telephone with an interval of at least fourteen (14) calendar days between contacts. Members shall be visited in their residence face-to-face by their care coordinator at least quarterly with an interval of at least sixty (60) days between visits.

MCOs also identify and address service gaps and ensure that back-up plans are being implemented and are functioning effectively If problems are identified, MCOs complete a self-assessment to determine what additional supports, if any, could be made available to assist the member. MCOs must develop methods for prompt follow-up and remediation of identified problems; policies and procedures regarding required timeframes for follow-up and remediation must be submitted to DHS for review and approval. Finally, any changes to a member's risk are identified through an update to the member's risk agreement. MCOs must report on monitoring results to the State.

In the event of non-compliance with service plan timelines, the MCO must: (i) immediately remediate all individual findings identified through its monitoring process; (ii) track and trend such findings and remediation to identify systemic issues of marginal performance and/or non-compliance; (iii) implement strategies to improve communitybased case management processes and resolve areas of non-compliance or member dissatisfaction; and (iv) measure the success of such strategies in addressing identified issues.

If the MCO fails to develop a plan of care for HCBS waiver enrollees within the timeframe mutually agreed upon between the MCO and the Agency in the course of Contract negotiations the MCO will be assessed a noncompliance fee of \$315 per occurrence.

b. Monitoring Safeguards. Select one:

© E	ntities and/or individuals that rticipant health and welfare 1	have responsibility to mo	nitor service plan implement ect waiver services to the p	entation and participant.
○ E	ntities and/or individuals that rticipant health and welfare I	have responsibility to mo	nitor service plan impleme	entation and
The Sta	te has established the following icipant. Specify:			
				^
				~
Appendix D: P	articipant-Centered Pl	lanning and Service	Delivery	
	lity Improvement: Serv			
As a distinct compor State's methods for	nent of the State's quality impro discovery and remediation.	ovement strategy, provide in	formation in the following f	ields to detail the
a. Methods for	· Discovery: Service Plan Assi	urance/Sub-assurances		
The state de plans for wa	monstrates it has designed and iver participants.	l implemented an effective s	system for reviewing the ad	equacy of service
i. Sub-	Assurances:			
а	. Sub-assurance: Service plan risk factors) and personal go	ns address all participants' oals, either by the provision	assessed needs (including l n of waiver services or throi	health and safety ugh other means.
	Performance Measures			
	For each performance meass sub-assurance), complete the	ure the State will use to asso e following. Where possible,	ess compliance with the stat include numerator/denomi	utory assurance (or nator.
	For each performance meast to analyze and assess progre on the method by which each themes are identified or concappropriate.	ess toward the performance In source of data is analyzed	measure. In this section pro statistically/deductively or	vide information inductively, how
	Performance Measure: SP-a: The IME shall meas accurately reflect the mem at a minimum, personal go service plans that address risks, and personal goals.	ber's assessed needs. The pals, health risks, and safe all member assessed need	assessed needs must inclue ty risks. Numerator = # of s including health and safe	
	Data Source (Select one): Record reviews, off-site If 'Other' is selected, specific person-centered plans and	y: d the results of the departi	nent approved assessment	i
	Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):	
	State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review	
		Mandhly.	I ass than 100%	

Representative

Sample

Sub-State Entity

			Confidence Interval = 5%
Other Specify: Contracted entity including MCO	Annual	ly	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continu Ongoin	uously and g	Other Specify:
	Other Specify		
Data Aggregation and Ar	ıalysis:		6
Responsible Party for da aggregation and analysis that applies):	ita		of data aggregation and ck each that applies):
State Medicaid Age	ncy	☐ Weekly	
Operating Agency		Month!	У
Sub-State Entity		 Quarte	rly
Other Specify:	0	Annual	lly
		Contin	uously and Ongoing

Quarterly

b. Sub-assurance: The State monitors service plan development in accordance with its policies and procedures.

Other Specify:

Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how

themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

c. Sub-assurance: Service plans are updated/revised at least annually or when warranted by changes in the waiver participant's needs.

Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

SP-c2: The IME will measure the number and percent of service plans which are updated on or before the member's annual due date. Numerator = # of service plans updated prior to due date; Denominator = # of service plans reviewed.

Data Source (Select one): Record reviews, off-site If 'Other' is selected, specify:

person-centered plans an	d the results of the depart	ment approved assessment
Responsible Party for data	Frequency of data collection/generation	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
collection/generation (check each that applies):	(check each that applies):	
State Medicaid Agency	☐ Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	✓ Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	✓ Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 5%
Other Specify: Contracted entity including MCO	Annually	Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	N

Data Aggregation and Ana	lysis:		
Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (that applies):	1	Frequency of analysis(chec	data aggregation and k each that applies):
✓ State Medicaid Agend	ey .	☐ Weekly	
Operating Agency		Monthly	
Sub-State Entity		✓ Quarter	ly
Other Specify:	^	Annuall	y
		Continu	ously and Ongoing
		Other	
		Specify:	
			<u>^</u>
Data Source (Select one): Record reviews, off-site If 'Other' is selected, specific person-centered plans and Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies): State Medicaid	the results Frequency collection/g	of data generation that applies):	ment approved assessment Sampling Approach (check each that applies)
Agency Operating Agency	✓ Month	ıly	Less than 100%
Oberanie reserved			Review
Sub-State Entity	☐ Quart	erly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 5%
Other Specify: Contracted entity including MCO	Annu	ally	Describe Group:

Other

Specify:

	C	
Land	Other Specify	^
Data Aggregation and Analy Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (chat applies):		Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency		☐ Weekly
Operating Agency		Monthly
Sub-State Entity		☑ Quarterly
Other Specify:	^ V	Annually
harman and the same and the sam		Continuously and Ongoing
		Other
		Specify:

Continuously and

Ongoing

d. Sub-assurance: Services are delivered in accordance with the service plan, including the type, scope, amount, duration and frequency specified in the service plan.

Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

SP-d1: The IME will measure the # and percent of members' service plans that identify all the following elements: * amount, duration, and funding sources of all services * all services authorized in the service plan were provided as verified by supporting documentation. Numerator: # members receiving services authorized in their service plan; Denominator = # of service plans reviewed.

Data Source (Select one): Record reviews, off-site If 'Other' is selected, specify:

The IPES survey is condu n a database. Data is pull			level and responses recorde ed.	
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies): Frequency of collection/generation (check each that applies):		f data Sampling Approach (check each that appli		
State Medicaid Agency	☐ Weekly ☑ Monthly		☐ 100% Review ✓ Less than 100% Review	
Operating Agency				
Sub-State Entity Quart		rly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 5%	
Specify: Contracted entity including MCO	Annual	ly	Stratified Describe Group:	
t.	Continu Ongoin	uously and	Other Specify:	
	Other Specify	:		
Pata Aggregation and An Responsible Party for da aggregation and analysis that applies):	ta	Frequency of analysis (che	of data aggregation and eck each that applies):	
State Medicaid Age	псу	☐ Weekly	,	
Operating Agency		Month!	ly	
Sub-State Entity		✓ Quarte	rly	
Other Specify:	^	Annua	lly	
1,	(1)	Contin	uously and Ongoing	
		Other Specify		

Performance Measure:

SP-2d: Number and percent of service plan reviewed reporting the receipt of all services identified in the plan. Numerator = # of reviewed service plans reporting receipt of all services Denominator = # of reviewed service plans.

Data Source (Select one):				
Record reviews, off-site				
If 'Other' is selected, specif				
Member service plans are				
cycle. Data is inductively	analyzed and reported to			
Responsible Party for	Frequency of data	Sampling Approach		
data	collection/generation	(check each that applies):		
collection/generation	(check each that applies):	**		
(check each that applies):				
State Medicaid	Weekly	☐ 100% Review		
Agency	1 (100) (100)	S400-202		
Operating Agency	✓ Monthly	Less than 100%		
Operating Agency	Within	Review		
	THE WOOD SEE TO SEE W			
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	✓ Representative		
		Sample		
		Confidence		
		Interval =		
		5%		
✓ Other	Annually	Stratified		
Specify:		Describe		
Contracted entity		Group:		
including MCO				
		W		
	Continuously and	Other		
	Ongoing	Specify:		
	0909			
	Other			
	Specify:	Į.		
	A			
	¥			

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):

State Medicaid Agency

Operating Agency

Sub-State Entity

Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):

Weekly

Quarterly

Other Annually Specify:

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
A	
¥	
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other
ii.	Specify:
	^
	<u> </u>

e. Sub-assurance: Participants are afforded choice: Between/among waiver services and providers.

Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

SP-e1: The IME will measure the number and percentage of members from the HCBS IPES who responded that they had a choice of services. Numerator = # of IPES respondents who stated that they were a part of planning their services; Denominator = # of IPES respondents that answered the question asking if they were a part of planning their services.

Data Source (Select one):

Analyzed collected data (including surveys, focus group, interviews, etc) If 'Other' is selected, specify:

FFS HCBS UNIT OA survey data and MCO IPES databases

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	☐ Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	☐ Monthly	✓ Less than 100% Review
☐ Sub-State Entity	 Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 5%
Other Specify: Contracted entity including MCO	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:

í I			1 1	
			Automotionologicalista	V
	Contine Ongoin	uously and	Othe	r Specify:
	Other Specify		Andrew Andrews	V
	, we would not be a second or the second or	<u> </u>		
Data Aggregation and Ana		Frequency o	f dota aggr	regation and
Responsible Party for dat aggregation and analysis that applies):		analysis(che		
✓ State Medicaid Agen	cy	☐ Weekly		
Operating Agency		Month!	у	
Sub-State Entity		✓ Quarte	rly	
Other Specify:	^	Annual	ly	
	. 1	Continu	iously and	Ongoing
		Other		
		Specify		
				A
Performance Measure: SP-e2: The IME will meas the HCBS QA survey revi Numerator: The total nun choice of HCBS service pr plans reviewed	ew that indicated in the contract of the contract of services and the contract of the contract	cated the men ce plans revie	nber had a wed which	choice of provi demonstrate
Data Source (Select one): Record reviews, off-site If 'Other' is selected, specif FFS QA review of service available through their sy	plan stored	in OnBase. M	ICO reviev	v services plans
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency collection/g			Approach ch that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekl	y	100%	% Review
Operating Agency	✓ Month	ly		

		Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 5%
Other Specify: Contracted entity including MCO	Annually	Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):	
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	
Operating Agency	Monthly	
Sub-State Entity	✓ Quarterly	
Other Specify:	☐ Annually	
	Continuously and Ongoing	
	Other Specify:	
	0	

ii. If applicable, in the textbox below provide any necessary additional information on the strategies employed by the State to discover/identify problems/issues within the waiver program, including frequency and parties responsible

The Medical Services Unit utilizes criteria to grade each reviewed service plan component. If it is determined that the service plan does not meet the standards for component(s), the case manager is notified of deficiency and expectations for remediation. MCOs are responsible for oversite of service plans for their members.

The HCBS Quality Oversight Unit has identified questions and answers that demand additional attention. These questions are considered urgent in nature and are flagged for follow-up. Based on the responses to these flagged questions, the HCBS interviewer performs education to the member at the time of the interview and requests additional information and remediation from the case manager.

General methods for problem correction at a systemic level include informational letters, provider training, collaboration with stakeholders and changes in policy..

b. Methods for Remediation/Fixing Individual Problems

i. Describe the State's method for addressing individual problems as they are discovered. Include information regarding responsible parties and GENERAL methods for problem correction. In addition, provide information on the methods used by the State to document these items.

The Medical Services Unit utilizes criteria to grade each reviewed service plan component. If it is determined that the service plan does not meet the standards for component(s), the case manager is notified of deficiency and expectations for remediation. MCOs are responsible for oversite of service plans for their members.

The HCBS Quality Oversight Unit has identified questions and answers that demand additional attention. These questions are considered urgent in nature and are flagged for follow-up. Based on the responses to these flagged questions, the HCBS interviewer performs education to the member at the time of the interview and requests additional information and remediation from the case manager.

General methods for problem correction at a systemic level include informational letters, provider training, collaboration with stakeholders and changes in policy.

ii. Remediation Data Aggregation
Remediation-related Data Aggregation and Analysis (including trend identification)

Responsible Party(check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):
✓ State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	✓ Quarterly
Other Specify: Contracted Entities	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:
	^
	₩

c. Timelines

When the State does not have all elemen	nts of the Quality Improvement Stra	tegy in place, provide timelines to design
methods for discovery and remediation	related to the assurance of Service P	lans that are currently non-operational.

i Cii	to as for also very and remediation related to the assurance of service rans that are currently non-operational.
	No
0	Yes
	Please provide a detailed strategy for assuring Service Plans, the specific timeline for implementing identified strategies, and the parties responsible for its operation.
	brutergree, and the parties responsible for its operation.